



Peter Lindford as Mephistopheles and Simon Callow, right, as Faust; A chameleon cheekiness and a persuasive craving for ecstasy PHOTOGRAPH: DOUGLAS FREEMAN

A holy healthy Faust

The Lyric Hammersmith's version of Faust blends spectacle and language magnificently. Michael Billington reports

GOETHE'S Faust is not exactly unknown in English. Irving played Mephistopheles over 70 times in what Shaw called W. G. Wilder's "foolish travesty." In 1924 Arnold Bennett records seeing a nearly full (1 pm). More recently Theatre Guild presented Part One and Robert David Macdonald attended the whole epic into 3 1/2 hours at the Glasgow Citizens, noting that Goethe's masterpiece is significant.

But the Lyric Hammersmith, whose very existence was recently threatened, is now offering as complete a version as we have probably seen in Britain. And, on the evidence of Part One, I would describe it as essential viewing: witty, imaginative, evocative and preserving a tenuous balance between lan-

guage and spectacle. Indeed, with actors swinging by their toes from the over-arching iron bridges of David Roger's set, it gives the lie to all that rubbish about British actors being exclusively text-bound. If this came from Eastern Europe, we'd all be going bananas. What makes Goethe's Faust different from Marlow's Faust is that we know from the start that the hero is redeemable. Mephistopheles makes a bet with God which he is bound to lose. The tension in the play springs from within Faust himself. Stuck in his gloomy, Gothic study with its warm-ester junk, he tells us, in Robert David Macdonald's admirable translation, that "two souls within me struggle for possession." The one is sensual, earthbound man; the other "strives for purity of mind." Out of that internal dialectic springs the drama; and when Faust says that he craves "the

bridge. Added by Nigel Osborne's music which employs everything from Chinese gongs to talors, Mr Freeman's production delights in theatricality and combines pure pantomime with the reckless pursuit of knowledge. I find more problems in the second half where Faust's love for Gretchen leads to accidental murder, infanticide (Gretchen kills her illegitimate child) and execution. Brecht once asked a very good question: why doesn't Faust get married? He said that Goethe supplied the answer at the end of Part Two when Faust's sensual and spiritual side are at last united in productive work for mankind. But, judged in isolation, the Gretchen episode is ill-plotted (Goethe skips casually over a year in time) and is here less than fully realised. Allyn Spoon's Gretchen is good but wanting in lyricism; we don't get the full thunder of the Dies Irae; and when it comes to Walpurgis Nacht, a vast ship's ladder descends from the flies leading to much frigging in the rigging, but suggesting at times a rather debauched holiday-cruise. My reservations, however,

are swept aside by Mr Freeman's grasp of the complex architecture of the play and by the astounding versatility of the 12-strong company. Simon Callow's Faust moves persuasively from mellow-academic rancour to interperately youthful exuberance (he also speaks the verse beautifully). Peter Lindford's Mephistopheles, at one point blasphemously posing as Christ on the cross, has a lithe, chameleon cheekiness much assisted by the translation: "naturally," he claims, "when God created woman, he made a pretty one — he's only human." And the company, whether as angels descending from Heaven on ropes or as witches having a ball, display an acrobatic Meyerholdian vigour. Final judgement must wait until we see Part Two next week. But already Mr Freeman, using his operatic experience to the full, has colonised a world classic and pinned down the Faustian craving for ecstasy that links him to modern man.

BEFORE

Billington On Faust Part One

Clipped By:



ianharris
Sat, Feb 22, 2020