



## The mind of a Nazi enigma explored with compelling ingenuity

LIEERT SPEER, Hitler's retailed and later his remainents minister, is often escribed as the "good" Nazi. fall the war criminals put in trial at Nuremberg, he was the only one to express emores, and his repentance mende to continue during need to continue the need to contin

Gitta Sereny, who has written a penetrating biography of this brilliant technician, believes that out of "his continuing and tormenting awareness of guilt... there came to be another Speer". Others take the view that Speer simily.

said what he knew the Allied victors wanted to hear.

In her dense and gripping play, Esther Vilar, an Argentinian writer whose parents emigrated from pre-war Germany, presents us with Speer in the year before his death (which occurred, incidentally, during an assignation in London with a

subsequently vanished). The year is 1980 and the 70-year-old Speer has been lured to East Berlin to give a lecture on architecture. Afterwards Hans Bauer — a younger man who appears to be a high-ranking Stasi

where Speer drew up the plans for Germania, the megalomaniae "capital of the world" that Hitler intended to create in Berlin after

like a prolonged game of cut and mouse. At times Bauer, played with a fine blend of glib fluency and unsettling enigma by Sven Erro Bechtols, comes across as a bechtols, and a support of the Nazi. At others he asks the hard questions that will always surround Speer. How could he claim not to have known about the Final schnigal mastery at

## Theatre

machine lead to many millions of unnecessary deaths? Couldn't he have been deliberately inefficier

Speer, mesmerisingly wellplayed by the great Austrian actor Klaus Maria Brandauer, initially appears plump and self-satisfied, glibly responding to questions he has successfully answered or ducked many times before.

imes before.

But as Bauer gets down to

## er the

the creation of Germania the older man becomes rattled, defensive and shrill. He admits he "turned away" from the persecution of the Jews, acknowledging that this is a kind of guilt. But it is

not a guilt he appears to feel. Seventy minutes into this 90-minute play, Bauer makes a specific offer to Speer. It would be unfair to reveal it, for it is a moment of satisfying ingenuity and moral ambivalence that, within the context of the play at least, seems plausible. And here Speer's response and Brandauer's performance collaboration with the East German regime, which Speer, the repentant humanist, has previously criticised after hearing people being shot in noman's-land bevond the Wall.

man's-land beyond the Wal Yet presented with a practical challenge, and an appeal to his vanity, Speer instantly reverts from born again moralist to pragmati technician.

technician.

It was never, you are made forcefully aware, that Speer hated the Jews or the slave alsour he so ruthlessly exploited. It was just that in the pursuit of his goals he became criminally oblivious to their suffering.

wists in the final minutes of the play. Again it would be unfair to give them away, but although they undoubtedly pack a dramatic punch, they seem a touch gib in a work exploring such profound moral themes, somehow diminishing the piece into a

lick, Cold War thriller.
Nevertheless, there is no
loubt that Speer, skilfully
lirected by Brandauer
inmself and atmospherically
lesigned by Hans Hoffer,
remains a splendidly
compelling drama about one
of the most enigmatic figures
n 20th-century history.

CHARLES SPENCER

## Speer Spencer Telegraph

Clipped By:

ianlharris

Sun, Mar 31, 2024

Copyright © 2024 Newspapers.com. All Rights Reserved.

Newspapers™